Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/846,374	TALAGALA ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	John P Trimmings	2133
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>John P Trimmings</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>B. Noel Kivlin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 December 2004	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112(1)		
Claims discussed: 1-4,6-19,21-34,36-49 and 51-60		
Prior art documents discussed: none		,
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	·	
7002 -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the withdrawal of the examiner's 112(1) rejections in view of a change to the independent claims. The following changes to claims 1, 16, 31 and 46 were agreed to:

Claim 1 line 5 is amended to recite, "in response to detection of a data integrity error in at least one vertical redundant relationship of the plurality of data blocks".

Claim 16 line 8 is amended to recite, "such that in response to a detection of a data integrity error in at least one vertical redundant relationship of the plurality of data blocks"".

Claim 31 line 3 is amended to recite, "detecting a data integrity error in at least one of a plurality of data blocks having a vertical redundant relationship,", and line 4 being deleted.

Claim 46 line 9 is amended to recite, "is configured such that in response to detection of a data integrity error in at least one of the first type of redundant relationships in the plurality of data blocks,".

And in view of the withdrawal of the 112(1) rejections, and changes to Claims 1, 16, 31 and 46, the examiner agreed to an allowance of all claims (1-4,6-19,21-34,36-49 and 51-60).